Application No.

08/238,080

Applicant(s)

Collins et al.

Examiner

Interview Summary

Dianne Rees

Group Art Unit 1807



| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | |
|--|---|
| (1) Dianne Rees | (3) |
| (2) Norval Galloway | (4) |
| Date of Interview Mar 26, 1997 | |
| Type: X Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: | |
| Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: all pending | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | - |
| Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an | agreement was reached, or any other comments: |
| Appticant's counsel discussed aspects of the invention related to the rejection under 35 USC 103; particularly that there | |
| was no expressed motivation to combine the references cited , that the evidentiary record of the prior art did not teach | |
| purifying target nucleic acids prior to amplification, and, further, that where purification was done (i.e when the problem | |
| was recognized at all) it was done by methodology other that | |
| was no expressed motivation in the references but that expressed that although the art pro- | |
| under 103. The Examiner discussed that although the art provided "quick and dirty" solutions to amplification, this did not constitute teaching away from the claimed invention and that although the claimed invention represented an | |
| improvement over the art; the modification of the art known technique (purifying a target using a solid support from a | |
| sample) was recognized as offering an advantage that would | • |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | |
| 1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. | |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUD Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has a FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF T | E THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH |
| each of the objections, rejections and requirements the claims are now allowable, this completed form is con- | uding any attachments) reflects a complete response to hat may be present in the last Office action, and since the sidered to fulfill the response requirements of the last g a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above |
| | . / |
| Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attac | hment to a signed Office action. |